

APPENDIX D
Complete survey questions and response frequencies

SECTION 1: DEMOGRAPHICS

Understanding a few things about individuals taking this survey will help Mequon officials determine if opinion varies by area or by demographics.

1. How long have you been a resident of Mequon?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0-5 years	601	15.7	15.8	15.8
	6-10 years	419	11.0	11.0	26.9
	11-15 years	400	10.5	10.5	37.4
	16-20 years	413	10.8	10.9	48.3
	More than 20 years	1965	51.5	51.7	100.0
	Total	3798	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	20	.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

2. Including yourself, what is the composition of your household?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 adult, no minors	626	16.4	16.6	16.6
	1 adult and 1 or more minors	83	2.2	2.2	18.8
	2 or more adults and no minors	2022	53.0	53.6	72.4
	2 or more adults and 1 or more minors	1041	27.3	27.6	100.0
	Total	3772	98.8	100.0	
Missing	System	46	1.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

**3. Which of the following categories best corresponds
with your age?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	34	.9	.9	.9
	30-39	317	8.3	8.4	9.3
	40-49	510	13.4	13.5	22.8
	50-59	810	21.2	21.4	44.2
	60-69	1003	26.3	26.5	70.8
	70-79	753	19.7	19.9	90.7
	80+	352	9.2	9.3	100.0
	Total	3779	99.0	100.0	
Missing	System	39	1.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice I was born here

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I was born here	259	6.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3559	93.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice Low taxes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low taxes	1919	50.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1899	49.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice Low crime

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low crime	1751	45.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2067	54.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice I found a specific residence/lot

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I found a specific residence/lot	1724	45.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2094	54.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice For work

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	For work	579	15.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3239	84.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice To raise a family

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	To raise a family	1627	42.6	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2191	57.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice For family already living here

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	For family already living here	421	11.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3397	89.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice I moved with my parents

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I moved with my parents	117	3.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3701	96.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice Schools

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Schools	1679	44.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2139	56.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice Feel of the community

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Feel of the community	1038	27.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2780	72.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice Rural character

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Rural character	1345	35.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2473	64.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

4. Why did you move to Mequon? Select all that apply. - Selected Choice Other

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Other	286	7.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3532	92.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

5. Using the map below, please identify the Aldermanic District in which you live.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	District 1	413	10.8	11.5	11.5
	District 2	518	13.6	14.4	25.9
	District 3	561	14.7	15.6	41.5
	District 4	454	11.9	12.6	54.1
	District 5	349	9.1	9.7	63.8
	District 6	494	12.9	13.7	77.5
	District 7	404	10.6	11.2	88.7
	District 8	406	10.6	11.3	100.0
	Total	3599	94.3	100.0	
Missing	System	219	5.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 3/4 acre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1005	26.3	28.7	28.7
	Neutral	843	22.1	24.1	52.7
	Support	1656	43.4	47.3	100.0
	Total	3504	91.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	82	2.1		
	System	232	6.1		
	Total	314	8.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 1 acre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	552	14.5	15.6	15.6
	Neutral	797	20.9	22.5	38.1
	Support	2188	57.3	61.9	100.0
	Total	3537	92.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	81	2.1		
	System	200	5.2		
	Total	281	7.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per more than 1 acre but less than 5 acres

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	642	16.8	18.4	18.4
	Neutral	929	24.3	26.7	45.1
	Support	1911	50.1	54.9	100.0
	Total	3482	91.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	97	2.5		
	System	239	6.3		
	Total	336	8.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 5 acres or more

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	905	23.7	26.4	26.4
	Neutral	975	25.5	28.5	54.9
	Support	1545	40.5	45.1	100.0
	Total	3425	89.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	127	3.3		
	System	266	7.0		
	Total	393	10.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Two-Family Homes (Side-By-Side Homes)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1583	41.5	45.9	45.9
	Neutral	921	24.1	26.7	72.5
	Support	948	24.8	27.5	100.0
	Total	3452	90.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	126	3.3		
	System	240	6.3		
	Total	366	9.6		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Townhomes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1549	40.6	45.4	45.4
	Neutral	967	25.3	28.3	73.7
	Support	898	23.5	26.3	100.0
	Total	3414	89.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	152	4.0		
	System	252	6.6		
	Total	404	10.6		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Apartments with up to 8 units

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2299	60.2	66.9	66.9
	Neutral	619	16.2	18.0	84.9
	Support	520	13.6	15.1	100.0
	Total	3438	90.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	129	3.4		
	System	251	6.6		
	Total	380	10.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Apartments with 9 units or more

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2600	68.1	76.2	76.2
	Neutral	489	12.8	14.3	90.6
	Support	322	8.4	9.4	100.0
	Total	3411	89.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	139	3.6		
	System	268	7.0		
	Total	407	10.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Senior-Only Housing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	810	21.2	23.5	23.5
	Neutral	1281	33.6	37.2	60.7
	Support	1352	35.4	39.3	100.0
	Total	3443	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	161	4.2		
	System	214	5.6		
	Total	375	9.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

6. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Full Service / Retirement Care Communities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	816	21.4	23.8	23.8
	Neutral	1261	33.0	36.8	60.6
	Support	1350	35.4	39.4	100.0
	Total	3427	89.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	174	4.6		
	System	217	5.7		
	Total	391	10.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Academic Facilities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	1899	49.7	55.1	55.1
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	289	7.6	8.4	63.5
	Neutral	755	19.8	21.9	85.5
	Oppose	501	13.1	14.5	100.0
	Total	3444	90.2	100.0	
	Missing	Don't Know	162	4.2	
System		212	5.6		
Total		374	9.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Large

Farming/Hardware Store

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	873	22.9	25.6	25.6
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	583	15.3	17.1	42.6
	Neutral	732	19.2	21.4	64.1
	Oppose	1226	32.1	35.9	100.0
	Total	3414	89.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	150	3.9		
	System	254	6.7		
	Total	404	10.6		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Gas Stations

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	736	19.3	21.2	21.2
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	713	18.7	20.5	41.7
	Neutral	749	19.6	21.6	63.3
	Oppose	1275	33.4	36.7	100.0
	Total	3473	91.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	105	2.8		
	System	240	6.3		
	Total	345	9.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Grocery

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	1024	26.8	29.5	29.5
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	449	11.8	12.9	42.5
	Neutral	788	20.6	22.7	65.2
	Oppose	1207	31.6	34.8	100.0
	Total	3468	90.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	118	3.1		
	System	232	6.1		
	Total	350	9.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Health & Personal Care

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	1145	30.0	33.3	33.3
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	331	8.7	9.6	43.0
	Neutral	1011	26.5	29.4	72.4
	Oppose	948	24.8	27.6	100.0
	Total	3435	90.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	137	3.6		
	System	246	6.4		
	Total	383	10.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Hospitality

(Lodging, Restaurant, Entertainment)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	1456	38.1	41.4	41.4
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	628	16.4	17.8	59.2
	Neutral	650	17.0	18.5	77.6
	Oppose	787	20.6	22.4	100.0
	Total	3521	92.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	114	3.0		
	System	183	4.8		
	Total	297	7.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Industrial

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	550	14.4	16.3	16.3
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	265	6.9	7.9	24.2
	Neutral	744	19.5	22.1	46.2
	Oppose	1812	47.5	53.8	100.0
	Total	3371	88.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	163	4.3		
	System	284	7.4		
	Total	447	11.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Park & Open

Space

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	2507	65.7	72.1	72.1
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	143	3.7	4.1	76.2
	Neutral	541	14.2	15.6	91.7
	Oppose	287	7.5	8.3	100.0
	Total	3478	91.1	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	148	3.9		
	System	192	5.0		
	Total	340	8.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Professional

or Medical Office

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	1366	35.8	39.1	39.1
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	354	9.3	10.1	49.3
	Neutral	908	23.8	26.0	75.3
	Oppose	864	22.6	24.7	100.0
	Total	3492	91.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	103	2.7		
	System	223	5.8		
	Total	326	8.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). -

Retail/Clothing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	1072	28.1	30.5	30.5
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	418	10.9	11.9	42.4
	Neutral	794	20.8	22.6	65.0
	Oppose	1229	32.2	35.0	100.0
	Total	3513	92.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	106	2.8		
	System	199	5.2		
	Total	305	8.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

7. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following

NON-residential development types within the East Growth Area (see the reference map above). - Sporting

Goods

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support (anywhere in the East Growth Area)	874	22.9	25.2	25.2
	Support (ONLY at the intersection of Port Washington Road and Pioneer Road)	421	11.0	12.2	37.4
	Neutral	863	22.6	24.9	62.3
	Oppose	1305	34.2	37.7	100.0
	Total	3463	90.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	118	3.1		
	System	237	6.2		
	Total	355	9.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City is considering allowing “light industrial” development in the shaded section of the East Growth Area indicated on the map below.

The term “light industrial” refers to professional office, research and development, and light manufacturing or processing that does not generate nuisances such as odor, noise, vibration or hazardous conditions.

8. Would you support or oppose allowing additional light industrial development within the shaded section of the East Growth Area on the map?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	1582	41.4	44.9	44.9
	Neutral	916	24.0	26.0	70.9
	Oppose	1023	26.8	29.1	100.0
	Total	3521	92.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	136	3.6		
	System	161	4.2		
	Total	297	7.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 3/4 acre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1197	31.4	34.1	34.1
	Neutral	837	21.9	23.8	57.9
	Support	1481	38.8	42.1	100.0
	Total	3515	92.1	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	105	2.8		
	System	198	5.2		
	Total	303	7.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 1 acre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	874	22.9	24.8	24.8
	Neutral	884	23.2	25.1	49.9
	Support	1762	46.1	50.1	100.0
	Total	3520	92.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	103	2.7		
	System	195	5.1		
	Total	298	7.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per more than 1 acre but less than 5 acres

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1120	29.3	32.2	32.2
	Neutral	934	24.5	26.8	59.0
	Support	1428	37.4	41.0	100.0
	Total	3482	91.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	121	3.2		
	System	215	5.6		
	Total	336	8.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road (see the reference map above). - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 5 acres or more

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1303	34.1	37.8	37.8

	Neutral	921	24.1	26.7	64.6
	Support	1221	32.0	35.4	100.0
	Total	3445	90.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	142	3.7		
	System	231	6.1		
	Total	373	9.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road

(see the reference map above). - Two-Family Homes (Side-By-Side Homes)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1542	40.4	44.2	44.2
	Neutral	976	25.6	28.0	72.2
	Support	969	25.4	27.8	100.0
	Total	3487	91.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	115	3.0		
	System	216	5.7		
	Total	331	8.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road

(see the reference map above). - Townhomes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1514	39.7	43.4	43.4
	Neutral	939	24.6	26.9	70.3
	Support	1037	27.2	29.7	100.0

	Total	3490	91.4	100.0
Missing	Don't Know	120	3.1	
	System	208	5.4	
	Total	328	8.6	
Total		3818	100.0	

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road

(see the reference map above). - Apartments with up to 8 units

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2215	58.0	63.2	63.2
	Neutral	622	16.3	17.8	81.0
	Support	665	17.4	19.0	100.0
	Total	3502	91.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	110	2.9		
	System	206	5.4		
	Total	316	8.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road

(see the reference map above). - Apartments with 9 units or more

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2565	67.2	73.9	73.9
	Neutral	494	12.9	14.2	88.2
	Support	410	10.7	11.8	100.0
	Total	3469	90.9	100.0	

Missing	Don't Know	116	3.0	
	System	233	6.1	
	Total	349	9.1	
Total		3818	100.0	

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road

(see the reference map above). - Senior-Only Housing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	979	25.6	28.1	28.1
	Neutral	1197	31.4	34.3	62.3
	Support	1314	34.4	37.7	100.0
	Total	3490	91.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	134	3.5		
	System	194	5.1		
	Total	328	8.6		
Total		3818	100.0		

9. Please

indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential development types within the Port Washington Road corridor south of Mequon Road

(see the reference map above). - Full Service / Retirement Care Communities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1006	26.3	28.9	28.9
	Neutral	1195	31.3	34.4	63.3
	Support	1274	33.4	36.7	100.0
	Total	3475	91.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	138	3.6		
	System	205	5.4		
	Total	343	9.0		

Total	3818	100.0	
-------	------	-------	--

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 3/4 acre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	931	24.4	26.2	26.2
	Neutral	707	18.5	19.9	46.0
	Support	1921	50.3	54.0	100.0
	Total	3559	93.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	82	2.1		
	System	177	4.6		
	Total	259	6.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 1 acre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	466	12.2	13.0	13.0
	Neutral	668	17.5	18.6	31.6
	Support	2454	64.3	68.4	100.0
	Total	3588	94.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	68	1.8		
	System	162	4.2		
	Total	230	6.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per more than 1 acre but less than 5 acres

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	607	15.9	17.1	17.1
	Neutral	757	19.8	21.3	38.3
	Support	2193	57.4	61.7	100.0
	Total	3557	93.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	78	2.0		
	System	183	4.8		
	Total	261	6.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Single-Family Homes - 1 house per 5 acres or more

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	812	21.3	22.9	22.9
	Neutral	799	20.9	22.5	45.4
	Support	1935	50.7	54.6	100.0
	Total	3546	92.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	97	2.5		
	System	175	4.6		
	Total	272	7.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Two-Family Homes (Side-By-Side Homes)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1401	36.7	39.9	39.9
	Neutral	1016	26.6	28.9	68.8
	Support	1098	28.8	31.2	100.0
	Total	3515	92.1	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	116	3.0		
	System	187	4.9		
	Total	303	7.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Townhomes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	1420	37.2	40.5	40.5
	Neutral	1032	27.0	29.5	70.0
	Support	1052	27.6	30.0	100.0
	Total	3504	91.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	128	3.4		
	System	186	4.9		
	Total	314	8.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Apartments with up to 8 units

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2197	57.5	62.9	62.9
	Neutral	693	18.2	19.8	82.7
	Support	604	15.8	17.3	100.0
	Total	3494	91.5	100.0	

Missing	Don't Know	126	3.3	
	System	198	5.2	
	Total	324	8.5	
Total		3818	100.0	

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Apartments with 9 units or more

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	2563	67.1	73.6	73.6
	Neutral	548	14.4	15.7	89.3
	Support	373	9.8	10.7	100.0
	Total	3484	91.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	126	3.3		
	System	208	5.4		
	Total	334	8.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Senior-Only Housing

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	835	21.9	23.8	23.8
	Neutral	1273	33.3	36.3	60.1
	Support	1400	36.7	39.9	100.0
	Total	3508	91.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	124	3.2		
	System	186	4.9		
	Total	310	8.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

Over the years the City has generally adhered to developing its housing stock with 70% single-family homes and 30% non-single family (e.g. condominiums, townhomes, and apartments).

10. Please indicate whether you would, in general, support or oppose future development of each type anywhere in Mequon. - Full Service / Retirement Care Communities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oppose	869	22.8	24.8	24.8
	Neutral	1268	33.2	36.2	61.1
	Support	1362	35.7	38.9	100.0
	Total	3499	91.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	146	3.8		
	System	173	4.5		
	Total	319	8.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

SECTION 3: OPEN SPACE PRESERVATION

Historically, the City of Mequon has placed a strong emphasis on preserving land as the community develops. Currently, the City has approximately 4 to 5 square miles of preserved open space, with about one-third being City and County parks and nature preserves.

Another way of looking at it is that there are 100 acres of open space preserved for every 1,000 residents. Those preserved lands do not include green areas around the schools and MATC, private country clubs, home and business setbacks, private soccer facilities and similar areas.

11. What is your opinion on the amount of open space that is currently protected in the City?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Too much	189	5.0	5.4	5.4
	The right amount	2123	55.6	60.7	66.1
	Not enough	1186	31.1	33.9	100.0
	Total	3498	91.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	272	7.1		
	System	48	1.3		
	Total	320	8.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

**12. Should the City use tax revenue to
preserve additional open space?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1656	43.4	47.6	47.6
	Neutral	909	23.8	26.1	73.7
	No	915	24.0	26.3	100.0
	Total	3480	91.1	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	283	7.4		
	System	55	1.4		
	Total	338	8.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City allows development of residential “cluster” lots (also known as conservation subdivisions) which reduces lot sizes and in turn preserves open space and/or environmentally sensitive areas. These developments also reduce the amount of infrastructure necessary to serve the new homes. The overall total number of lots permitted is NOT increased. Examples are shown below:

Conventional Subdivision

Cluster/Conservation Subdivision

All Land Within Lots

Land Outside of Lots Preserved

13. Should the City continue to encourage “cluster” residential developments (conservation subdivisions)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2334	61.1	64.9	64.9
	Neutral	703	18.4	19.6	84.5
	No	558	14.6	15.5	100.0
	Total	3595	94.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	171	4.5		
	System	52	1.4		
	Total	223	5.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City allows the “transfer” of development rights of lots from one site to another. This tool prevents residential development from occurring on sites that have attributes that may merit preserving, such as environmentally sensitive areas, and “transfers” the lots to sites more suitable for development, such as sites near existing sewer and water mains. Transfer development rights may increase the number of homes in a development project.

14. Should the City continue to encourage the use of transfer development rights?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1389	36.4	45.5	45.5
	Neutral	861	22.6	28.2	73.8
	No	800	21.0	26.2	100.0
	Total	3050	79.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	702	18.4		
	System	66	1.7		
	Total	768	20.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

SECTION 4: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

In the past, Mequon has used various economic development tools in efforts to grow the tax base by doing the following:

- **Promoting business retention and expansion**

- **Improving existing infrastructure such as public water mains, streets, and sidewalks**

- **Adding amenities such as lighting, landscaping and benches**

- **Purchasing undervalued, underutilized or blighted sites**

- **Providing redevelopment incentives aimed at underutilized, undervalued, blighted, or contaminated sites**

15. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Promoting business retention and expansion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	2563	67.1	70.3	70.3
	Neutral	682	17.9	18.7	89.0
	Oppose	403	10.6	11.0	100.0
	Total	3648	95.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	94	2.5		
	System	76	2.0		
	Total	170	4.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

15. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Improving existing infrastructure such as streets and sidewalks

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	3163	82.8	85.6	85.6
	Neutral	370	9.7	10.0	95.6
	Oppose	164	4.3	4.4	100.0
	Total	3697	96.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	54	1.4		
	System	67	1.8		
	Total	121	3.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

15. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Adding amenities such as lighting, landscaping, and benches

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	2477	64.9	67.5	67.5
	Neutral	819	21.5	22.3	89.9
	Oppose	372	9.7	10.1	100.0
	Total	3668	96.1	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	66	1.7		
	System	84	2.2		
	Total	150	3.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City has incentivized developers who have removed existing buildings and/or cleaned up environmental contamination. These types of incentives have been utilized on several sites in Mequon including Outpost Natural Foods, Spur 16, Foxtown Brewery, Artesa and multi-tenant office projects along Port Washington Road.

16. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Purchasing underutilized/ undervalued sites

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	1993	52.2	57.5	57.5
	Neutral	776	20.3	22.4	79.9
	Oppose	697	18.3	20.1	100.0
	Total	3466	90.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	191	5.0		
	System	161	4.2		
	Total	352	9.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City has incentivized developers who have removed existing buildings and/or cleaned up environmental contamination. These types of incentives have been utilized on several sites in Mequon including Outpost Natural Foods, Spur 16, Foxtown Brewery, Artesa and multi-tenant office projects along Port Washington Road.

16. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Incentivizing redevelopment of underutilized/ undervalued sites

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	2222	58.2	63.7	63.7
	Neutral	663	17.4	19.0	82.7
	Oppose	604	15.8	17.3	100.0
	Total	3489	91.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	170	4.5		
	System	159	4.2		
	Total	329	8.6		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City has incentivized developers who have removed existing buildings and/or cleaned up environmental contamination. These types of incentives have been utilized on several sites in Mequon including Outpost Natural Foods, Spur 16, Foxtown Brewery, Artesa and multi-tenant office projects along Port Washington Road.

16. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Incentivizing redevelopment of blighted sites

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	2565	67.2	73.3	73.3
	Neutral	510	13.4	14.6	87.9
	Oppose	422	11.1	12.1	100.0
	Total	3497	91.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	162	4.2		
	System	159	4.2		
	Total	321	8.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City has incentivized developers who have removed existing buildings and/or cleaned up environmental contamination. These types of incentives have been utilized on several sites in Mequon including Outpost Natural Foods, Spur 16, Foxtown Brewery, Artesa and multi-tenant office projects along Port Washington Road.

16. For each of the following economic development tools, please indicate whether you support or oppose its continued use. - Incentivizing redevelopment of environmentally contaminated sites

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	2568	67.3	73.9	73.9
	Neutral	497	13.0	14.3	88.2
	Oppose	411	10.8	11.8	100.0
	Total	3476	91.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't Know	171	4.5		
	System	171	4.5		
	Total	342	9.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

SECTION 5: SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES

The City of Mequon strives to provide quality municipal services to residents. An important step in ensuring/improving the quality of services provided is to measure resident satisfaction with services received.

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Architectural Review

Board

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	288	7.5	14.3	14.3
	Fair	536	14.0	26.6	40.9
	Good	912	23.9	45.3	86.2
	Excellent	277	7.3	13.8	100.0
	Total	2013	52.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	365	9.6		
	No Interactions	1267	33.2		
	System	173	4.5		
	Total	1805	47.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - City Hall/Administration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	132	3.5	4.3	4.3
	Fair	431	11.3	14.0	18.3
	Good	1730	45.3	56.3	74.6
	Excellent	781	20.5	25.4	100.0
	Total	3074	80.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	150	3.9		
	No Interactions	434	11.4		
	System	160	4.2		
	Total	744	19.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Election Services/Voter

Registration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	41	1.1	1.2	1.2
	Fair	222	5.8	6.4	7.6
	Good	1637	42.9	47.5	55.1
	Excellent	1548	40.5	44.9	100.0
	Total	3448	90.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	71	1.9		
	No Interactions	162	4.2		
	System	137	3.6		
	Total	370	9.7		
Total	3818	100.0			

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Fire/EMS

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	29	.8	1.1	1.1
	Fair	90	2.4	3.3	4.4
	Good	1021	26.7	37.6	42.0
	Excellent	1573	41.2	58.0	100.0
	Total	2713	71.1	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	125	3.3		
	No Interactions	833	21.8		
	System	147	3.9		
	Total	1105	28.9		
Total	3818	100.0			

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Inspections/Permitting

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	412	10.8	15.9	15.9
	Fair	594	15.6	23.0	38.9
	Good	1197	31.4	46.3	85.3
	Excellent	381	10.0	14.7	100.0
	Total	2584	67.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	283	7.4		
	No Interactions	788	20.6		
	System	163	4.3		
	Total	1234	32.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Park Maintenance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	56	1.5	1.8	1.8
	Fair	337	8.8	10.8	12.6
	Good	1883	49.3	60.3	72.9
	Excellent	848	22.2	27.1	100.0
	Total	3124	81.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	175	4.6		
	No Interactions	366	9.6		
	System	153	4.0		
	Total	694	18.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Police

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	57	1.5	1.8	1.8
	Fair	180	4.7	5.5	7.3
	Good	1259	33.0	38.7	46.0
	Excellent	1757	46.0	54.0	100.0
	Total	3253	85.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	71	1.9		
	No Interactions	352	9.2		
	System	142	3.7		
	Total	565	14.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Property Assessment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	147	3.9	5.3	5.3
	Fair	817	21.4	29.2	34.5
	Good	1447	37.9	51.7	86.2
	Excellent	386	10.1	13.8	100.0
	Total	2797	73.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	323	8.5		
	No Interactions	540	14.1		
	System	158	4.1		
	Total	1021	26.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Road Maintenance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	419	11.0	12.0	12.0
	Fair	1109	29.0	31.9	43.9
	Good	1617	42.4	46.5	90.3
	Excellent	336	8.8	9.7	100.0
	Total	3481	91.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	58	1.5		
	No Interactions	131	3.4		
	System	148	3.9		
	Total	337	8.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Sewer Utility (Wastewater)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	96	2.5	3.5	3.5
	Fair	404	10.6	14.9	18.5
	Good	1634	42.8	60.4	78.9
	Excellent	572	15.0	21.1	100.0
	Total	2706	70.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	324	8.5		
	No Interactions	620	16.2		
	System	168	4.4		
	Total	1112	29.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Snow Removal

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	147	3.9	4.2	4.2
	Fair	611	16.0	17.3	21.5
	Good	1859	48.7	52.7	74.1
	Excellent	913	23.9	25.9	100.0
	Total	3530	92.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	47	1.2		
	No Interactions	103	2.7		
	System	138	3.6		
	Total	288	7.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Storm Water Management

(Drainage)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	276	7.2	9.4	9.4
	Fair	664	17.4	22.6	32.1
	Good	1539	40.3	52.5	84.5
	Excellent	453	11.9	15.5	100.0
	Total	2932	76.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	319	8.4		
	No Interactions	421	11.0		
	System	146	3.8		
	Total	886	23.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following municipal services: - Water Utility

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	95	2.5	4.6	4.6
	Fair	264	6.9	12.7	17.3
	Good	1228	32.2	59.1	76.3
	Excellent	492	12.9	23.7	100.0
	Total	2079	54.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	405	10.6		
	No Interactions	1182	31.0		
	System	152	4.0		
	Total	1739	45.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

SECTION 6: CIVIC CAMPUS

The civic campus encompasses City Hall, the Frank L. Weyenberg Library, the Mequon Community Pool, and Rennicke Field. The civic campus is adjacent to the Town Center on the corners of Cedarburg Road and Mequon Road. The civic campus hosts several activities and provides public parking. The Inter-Urban Bike Trail (otherwise known as the Milwaukee/Ozaukee Interurban Trail) runs through the civic campus.

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Sidewalks

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sidewalks	1691	44.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2127	55.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Crosswalks with striping

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Crosswalks with striping	1481	38.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2337	61.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Crosswalk signs for pedestrians

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Crosswalk signs for pedestrians	1426	37.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2392	62.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Crosswalk lights for pedestrians

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Crosswalk lights for pedestrians	1288	33.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2530	66.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Lighting

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Lighting	1590	41.6	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2228	58.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Landscaping

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Landscaping	1141	29.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2677	70.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Street benches, bike racks, trash receptacles

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Street benches, bike racks, trash receptacles	1560	40.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2258	59.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. New parking regulations

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	New parking regulations	569	14.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3249	85.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Enforcement of traffic regulations

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Enforcement of traffic regulations	924	24.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2894	75.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

18. Which of the following improvements are necessary to make traveling within and around the civic campus comfortable? Select all that apply. Raised Pedestrian crosswalk for the Inter-Urban Bike Trail and Mequon

Road Intersection

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Raised Pedestrian crosswalk for the Inter-Urban Bike Trail and Mequon Road Intersection	1181	30.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2637	69.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

19. Would you support or oppose adding bike trail amenities (such as a rest area, restrooms, and a snack shop) along the Inter-Urban Bike

Trail adjacent to the civic campus?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	1668	43.7	46.8	46.8
	Neutral	913	23.9	25.6	72.5
	Oppose	981	25.7	27.5	100.0
	Total	3562	93.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	160	4.2		
	System	96	2.5		
	Total	256	6.7		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City may consider constructing a pedestrian bridge or an underpass for the Inter-Urban Bike Trail and Mequon Road intersection. Either project will cost millions of dollars, and the exact project cost will depend on the scope of the new structure, site conditions, and feasibility.

20. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose construction of a pedestrian bridge and/or an underpass at the Mequon Road and Ozaukee Inter-Urban Bike Trail intersection.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support constructing a pedestrian bridge only	765	20.0	22.2	22.2
	Support constructing an underpass only	158	4.1	4.6	26.8
	Support constructing both a pedestrian bridge and an underpass	592	15.5	17.2	44.0
	Neutral	451	11.8	13.1	57.1
	Oppose both	1475	38.6	42.9	100.0
	Total	3441	90.1	100.0	
	Missing	Don't know	280	7.3	
	System	97	2.5		
	Total	377	9.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

SECTION 7: COMMUNITY POOL

The Mequon Community Pool is located next to City Hall on Cedarburg Road. The pool was originally built in 1933, with the existing pool installed over the old pool in 1984. During the summer the pool is open from early June through late August. There is also a separate wading pool geared for younger children, with a zero-depth entrance and a maximum depth of two feet.

In the coming years, the City will have to consider closing the current pool and possibly replacing it. New community pools tend to range between \$3.5 million - \$6 million, depending on the scope of the new facility and site conditions.

21. Have you or your family utilized the City of Mequon Community Pool in the last 3 years?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	813	21.3	21.8	21.8
	No	2912	76.3	78.2	100.0
	Total	3725	97.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	24	.6		
	System	69	1.8		
	Total	93	2.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

22. Considering the City will have to explore closing the current pool, would you support or oppose the City building a new Community Pool?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	1879	49.2	53.8	53.8
	Neutral	839	22.0	24.0	77.8
	Oppose	775	20.3	22.2	100.0
	Total	3493	91.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	245	6.4		
	System	80	2.1		
	Total	325	8.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

23. Would you support or oppose an increase in taxes in order to fund building a new

Community Pool?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	1168	30.6	32.9	32.9
	Neutral	729	19.1	20.6	53.5
	Oppose	1649	43.2	46.5	100.0
	Total	3546	92.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	203	5.3		
	System	69	1.8		
	Total	272	7.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

24. Would you or your family utilize a new Community Pool?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	853	22.3	27.9	27.9
	No	2199	57.6	72.1	100.0
	Total	3052	79.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	686	18.0		
	System	80	2.1		
	Total	766	20.1		
Total		3818	100.0		

25. Would you support or oppose the City relocating the Community

Pool from the civic campus to another City-owned

location with more space, such as a park?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support	1548	40.5	46.3	46.3
	Neutral	944	24.7	28.3	74.6
	Oppose	848	22.2	25.4	100.0
	Total	3340	87.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	407	10.7		
	System	71	1.9		
	Total	478	12.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

26. Have you or

your family utilized a neighboring community's public pool in the last 3 years?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	844	22.1	22.5	22.5
	No	2899	75.9	77.5	100.0
	Total	3743	98.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	24	.6		
	System	51	1.3		
	Total	75	2.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

SECTION 8: PARKS AND PATHWAYS

The City of Mequon has 26 parks and bike/pedestrian pathways throughout the community. The City is exploring updating current features and adding new improvements to enhance these parks and pathways.

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Permanent bathroom facilities at Lemke Park

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	272	7.1	11.8	11.8
	2	223	5.8	9.7	21.5
	3	219	5.7	9.5	31.1
	4	221	5.8	9.6	40.7
	5	301	7.9	13.1	53.8
	6	249	6.5	10.8	64.6
	7	280	7.3	12.2	76.8
	8	256	6.7	11.1	87.9
	9	149	3.9	6.5	94.4
	10	129	3.4	5.6	100.0
Total		2299	60.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1519	39.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Off-road paved bike path along West Donges Bay

Road

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	303	7.9	13.1	13.1
	2	235	6.2	10.2	23.3
	3	216	5.7	9.3	32.6
	4	291	7.6	12.6	45.2
	5	290	7.6	12.5	57.8
	6	261	6.8	11.3	69.1
	7	233	6.1	10.1	79.1
	8	236	6.2	10.2	89.4
	9	136	3.6	5.9	95.2
	10	110	2.9	4.8	100.0
Total		2311	60.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1507	39.5		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - A separate area for small dogs at Katherine

Kearney Carpenter Park

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	49	1.3	2.1	2.1
	2	78	2.0	3.4	5.5
	3	78	2.0	3.4	8.9
	4	73	1.9	3.2	12.1
	5	108	2.8	4.7	16.8
	6	151	4.0	6.6	23.4
	7	242	6.3	10.6	34.0
	8	296	7.8	12.9	46.9
	9	715	18.7	31.2	78.1
	10	501	13.1	21.9	100.0
Total		2291	60.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1527	40.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - An additional dog park

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	146	3.8	6.4	6.4
	2	115	3.0	5.0	11.4
	3	127	3.3	5.5	16.9
	4	121	3.2	5.3	22.1
	5	117	3.1	5.1	27.2
	6	165	4.3	7.2	34.4
	7	156	4.1	6.8	41.2
	8	206	5.4	9.0	50.2
	9	431	11.3	18.8	68.9
	10	714	18.7	31.1	100.0
	Total	2298	60.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1520	39.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Updating River Barn Park, Rotary Park, Reuter

Park Buildings

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	191	5.0	8.3	8.3
	2	167	4.4	7.3	15.6
	3	225	5.9	9.8	25.3
	4	324	8.5	14.1	39.4
	5	333	8.7	14.5	53.9
	6	336	8.8	14.6	68.5
	7	302	7.9	13.1	81.7
	8	230	6.0	10.0	91.7
	9	137	3.6	6.0	97.6
	10	55	1.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	2300	60.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1518	39.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Upgrades to existing ball diamonds

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	104	2.7	4.5	4.5
	2	141	3.7	6.1	10.7
	3	184	4.8	8.0	18.7
	4	209	5.5	9.1	27.8
	5	252	6.6	11.0	38.7
	6	317	8.3	13.8	52.5
	7	355	9.3	15.5	68.0
	8	321	8.4	14.0	82.0
	9	248	6.5	10.8	92.8
	10	166	4.3	7.2	100.0
	Total	2297	60.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1521	39.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Pickleball courts and tennis courts

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	112	2.9	4.9	4.9
	2	128	3.4	5.6	10.4
	3	170	4.5	7.4	17.8
	4	214	5.6	9.3	27.2
	5	233	6.1	10.1	37.3
	6	265	6.9	11.5	48.8
	7	271	7.1	11.8	60.6
	8	329	8.6	14.3	75.0
	9	248	6.5	10.8	85.8
	10	327	8.6	14.2	100.0
	Total	2297	60.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1521	39.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Pedestrian trails within parks

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	401	10.5	17.2	17.2
	2	516	13.5	22.1	39.3
	3	466	12.2	20.0	59.3
	4	294	7.7	12.6	71.9
	5	229	6.0	9.8	81.8
	6	171	4.5	7.3	89.1
	7	121	3.2	5.2	94.3
	8	69	1.8	3.0	97.3
	9	39	1.0	1.7	98.9
	10	25	.7	1.1	100.0
	Total	2331	61.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1487	38.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Increased access to the Milwaukee River

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	314	8.2	13.5	13.5
	2	442	11.6	19.0	32.5
	3	328	8.6	14.1	46.6
	4	260	6.8	11.2	57.8
	5	209	5.5	9.0	66.8
	6	186	4.9	8.0	74.8
	7	154	4.0	6.6	81.4
	8	178	4.7	7.7	89.1
	9	109	2.9	4.7	93.8
	10	145	3.8	6.2	100.0
	Total	2325	60.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1493	39.1		

27. Please rank the following park improvements from 1 – 10, with 1 being the most important and 10 being least important. - Invasive plant removal and restoration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	474	12.4	20.3	20.3
	2	302	7.9	13.0	33.3
	3	318	8.3	13.6	46.9
	4	306	8.0	13.1	60.0
	5	228	6.0	9.8	69.8
	6	188	4.9	8.1	77.9
	7	171	4.5	7.3	85.2
	8	163	4.3	7.0	92.2
	9	72	1.9	3.1	95.3
	10	110	2.9	4.7	100.0
	Total	2332	61.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1486	38.9		
Total		3818	100.0		

28. Would you support or oppose an increase in taxes in order to fund park improvements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support at an amount not to exceed \$0.01/\$1,000 assessed value, which generates about \$46,000 in funds annually	498	13.0	14.4	14.4
	Support at an amount not to exceed \$0.02/\$1,000 assessed value, which generates about \$92,000 in funds annually	683	17.9	19.7	34.1
	Support at an amount not to exceed \$0.05/\$1,000 assessed value, which generates about \$230,000 in funds annually	916	24.0	26.5	60.6
	Neutral	494	12.9	14.3	74.9
	Oppose	869	22.8	25.1	100.0
	Total	3460	90.6	100.0	
	Missing	Don't know	221	5.8	
	System	137	3.6		
	Total	358	9.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

29. Which of the following bike and pedestrian improvements would you support within the City?

Select all that apply. Off-road paths

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Off-road paths	2108	55.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1710	44.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

29. Which of the following bike and pedestrian improvements would you support within the City?

Select all that apply. Paved shoulders

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Paved shoulders	1825	47.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1993	52.2		
Total		3818	100.0		

29. Which of the following bike and pedestrian improvements would you support within the City? Select all that apply. Sidewalks

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sidewalks	1744	45.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2074	54.3		
Total		3818	100.0		

29. Which of the following bike and pedestrian improvements would you support within the City?

Select all that apply. Wayfinding signs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Wayfinding signs	1032	27.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2786	73.0		
Total		3818	100.0		

29. Which of the following bike and pedestrian improvements would you support within the City? Select all that apply. Bike racks

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Bike racks	925	24.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2893	75.8		
Total		3818	100.0		

29. Which of the following bike and pedestrian improvements would you support within the City? Select all that apply. Bike repair stations

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Bike repair stations	444	11.6	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	3374	88.4		
Total		3818	100.0		

The City currently does not have a dedicated fund for bike and pedestrian improvements. Historically, the funding for bike and pedestrian improvements has been a part of other development initiatives or funded as one-time projects. 30. Would you support or oppose an increase in taxes in order to create a fund to support

bike and pedestrian improvements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Support at an amount not to exceed \$0.01/\$1,000 assessed value, which generates about \$46,000 in funds annually	752	19.7	21.3	21.3
	Support at an amount not to exceed \$0.02/\$1,000 assessed value, which generates about \$92,000 in funds annually	518	13.6	14.7	36.0
	Support at an amount not to exceed \$0.05/\$1,000 assessed value, which generates about \$230,000 in funds annually	598	15.7	16.9	52.9
	Neutral	569	14.9	16.1	69.1
	Oppose	1092	28.6	30.9	100.0
	Total	3529	92.4	100.0	
	Missing	Don't know	211	5.5	
	System	78	2.0		
	Total	289	7.6		
Total		3818	100.0		